

CACCI REPORT

An Integrated Results Framework and Key Performance Indicators for Climate Change Initiatives in Zambia

ANAPRI/IAPRI and Zambia CACCI Technical Team

September 2023









About CACCI Reports

ANAPRI CACCI Reports are publications stemming from implementation of the Comprehensive Action for Climate Change Initiative (CACCI) pilot project in Zambia and Ghana. CACCI is committed to expediting the implementation of Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) and National Adaptation Plans (NAPs) by addressing the need for data and analytics and bolstering institutional and coordination capacities. In Africa, CACCI collaborates closely with the African Union Commission, the African Network of Agricultural Policy Research Institutes (ANAPRI), AKADEMIYA2063, and climate stakeholders in selected countries. This partnership aims to inform climate planning and enhance capacities for evidence-based policymaking, advancing progress toward climate related objectives.

ANAPRI's involvement in the CACCI contributes to the provision of technical expertise, strengthening national, regional, and continental capacities for NDCs and NAPs implementation. In close collaboration with its two-member centers, the Indaba Agricultural Policy Research Institute (IAPRI) in Zambia and the Institute of Statistical Social and Economic Research (ISSER) in Ghana, ANAPRI, through CACCI, supported the Climate Change Technical Working Groups within respective countries and the ministries responsible for coordinating these working groups by offering data and analytical support.

Jointly published with ANAPRI member centers (IAPRI and ISSER) and the Country Climate Change Technical Working Group, the CACCI reports catalogue the key deliverables under the project. The data shared through these reports aim to provide evidence based insights to practitioners and policymakers spearheading climate action in countries where CACCI is being implemented. CACCI is generously supported by the U.S. Agency for International Development (USAID) through the Feed the Future Innovation Lab for Food Security Policy Research, Capacity, and Influence (PRCI), led by Michigan State University (MSU). It is important to note that the views expressed in this publication do not necessarily reflect those of the funder but represent the perspectives of the authors.

i

About ANAPRI



The African Network of Agricultural Policy Research Institutes (ANAPRI) is a network that brings together various agricultural policy research institutes in Africa. It serves as a platform for collaboration, knowledge sharing,

and collective action among its member institutes. ANAPRI works towards promoting evidence-based policy formulation and implementation to enhance agricultural development and food security across the African continent. Through research, policy analysis, capacity building, and advocacy, ANAPRI aims to contribute to sustainable agricultural and rural development in Africa.

About IAPRI



Established in 2011, the Indaba Agricultural Policy Research Institute (IAPRI) is Zambia's first indigenous policy research institute dedicated to policy analysis of the agricultural and environmental sectors. IAPRI is a non-

profit company limited by guarantee and collaboratively works with public and private stakeholders. The institute's vision is "to be the Centre of Excellence for Agricultural Policy Research and Outreach in Zambia". IAPRI exists to carry out agricultural policy research and outreach activities, serving the agricultural sector in Zambia to achieve sustainable pro-poor agricultural development. IAPRI's mandate is to utilize empirical evidence to advise and guide the Government of Zambia and other stakeholders on agricultural investments and policies.

About ISSER



ISSER was established in 1962 as the Institute of Statistics to provide a programme of teaching and research in statistics. In 1969, it was reorganized and renamed the Institute of Statistical, Social, and Economic Research with an expanded mandate to conduct research in the social sciences to

generate solutions for national development. ISSER currently serves as the research wing under the College of Humanities, University of Ghana, and engages

in several policy-relevant research whose findings are intended to help policymakers on the best policy decisions to make for national development.

Suggested Citation: CACCI (2023). An Integrated Result Framework for Tracking Progress in Climate Change Ambitions and Actions in Zambia. CACCI Report, Lusaka, Zambia.

Authors: Antony Chapoto¹, Brian P. Mulenga², Hedges Tembo³, Stephen Kabwe⁴, Kasanda Bunda⁵, Fwasa Singogo⁶ and Eugene Kaango⁷

iii

¹ ANAPRI ED/ Former CACCI Coordinator for Zambia and Ghana

² Senior Research Fellow at IAPRI and Zambia Team Lead

³ Chief Green Economy Officer in the Ministry of Green Economy and Environment

⁴ Outreach Coordinator at IAPRI

⁵ Principal Climate Change Officer in the Ministry of Green Economy and Environment

⁶ Research Associate at IAPRI

⁷ Research Associate at IAPRI

Table of Contents

| Acknowledgement | . v |
|--|-----|
| Acronyms | vi |
| List of Tables | iii |
| 1. Introduction | .1 |
| 2. Review of Climate Change Ambitions and Actions | .3 |
| 2.1 Goals and ambitions of Zambia's climate change initiatives | .3 |
| 2.2 Priority actions in Zambia's NDC | .3 |
| 3. Zambia Climate Change M&E System | .4 |
| 3.1 The Zambia MRV framework | .4 |
| 3.2 Zambia adaptation MEL framework | .5 |
| 3.3 The need to revise the MRV and MEL indicators | .5 |
| 4. An Integrated Results Framework | .6 |
| 5. Key Performance Indicators | .7 |
| 5.1 Proposed key performance indicators | .8 |
| 5.2 Metrics of key performance indicators | .8 |
| 6. Summary and Conclusion | .9 |
| Annex:1: Integrated Results Framework1 | 10 |
| Annex: 2: IRF key Performance indicator information1 | 11 |
| Annex:31 | 14 |



Acknowledgments

The Africa Network of Agricultural Policy Research Institutes (ANAPRI) is a consortium of national agricultural and food systems policy research centers in Africa. Our primary goal is to generate high-quality evidence that supports policymaking across the continent. We are committed to developing the capacity of national agricultural research institutes and fostering dynamic collaborations. Through effective outreach, we provide balanced and nonpartisan advice to stakeholders at the national, regional, and continental levels.

We would like to express our gratitude to the African Union Commission for initiating this program and to the United States Agency for International Development (USAID) for providing financial support through the Innovation Lab for Food Security Policy, Research, Capacity, and Influence (PRCI). We would also like to acknowledge the technical collaboration from the PRCI team at Michigan State University during the implementation of the Comprehensive Action for Climate Change Initiative (CACCI).

Additionally, we appreciate the support of the Zambian government, specifically the Ministry of Green Economy and Environment, and the Climate Change Technical Working Group members. We would also like to thank the Ghanaian Government, Policy Link, and other members of the CACCI Technical Working Group for partnering with us to implement the CACCI pilot in Ghana. This work would not have been possible without the hard work of our member research centers, IAPRI and ISSER in Zambia and Ghana, respectively. Lastly, we extend our gratitude to Akademiya 2063 for being a co-implementer of CACCI Africa in Rwanda and Senegal.

Please note that any views expressed or errors remaining are solely the responsibility of the authors. For comments and questions, please contact:

The Executive Director,

Africa Network of Agricultural Policy Research Institutes,

C/O Indaba Agricultural Policy Research Institute, 12 Serval Road, Kabulonga, Lusaka, Zambia.

v

Telephone: +260 211 261194; Email: info@renapri.org

Acronyms

| BAU | Business As Usual |
|--------|--|
| СА | Conservation Agriculture |
| CSA | Climate Smart Agriculture |
| CACCI | Comprehensive Africa Climate Change Initiative |
| DPO | District Planning Officers |
| GCF | Green Climate Fund |
| GHG | Greenhouse Gas |
| GRZ | Government Republic of Zambia |
| GWP | The Global Water Partnership |
| IAPRI | Indaba Agricultural Policy Research Institute |
| IBLI | Index-Based Livestock Insurance |
| IF | Implementation Framework |
| IPCC | Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change |
| IPM | Integrated Pest Management |
| IRF | Integrated Results Framework |
| KPIs | Key Performance Indicators |
| MEL | Monitoring, Evaluation and Learning framework |
| MRV | The Monitoring, Reporting and Verification framework |
| NAP | National Adaptation Plan |
| NDC | Nationally Determined Contribution |
| NDVI | Normalized Difference Vegetation Index |
| NPCC | National Policy of Climate Change |
| SDGs | Sustainable Development Goals |
| UNFCCC | United Nations Convention on Climate Change |

vi

| WARMA | Water Resources Management Authority |
|-------|--|
| 8NDP | Eighth National development Plan |
| ZEMA | Zambia Environmental Management Agency |

List of Tables

| Table 1: Main themes and tracking parameters of the Zambia's web-based MRV | 5 |
|---|----|
| Table 2: Proposed Integrated Results Framework | 10 |
| Table 3: Proposed key Performance Indicators for the proposed IRF | 11 |
| Table 4: Proposed adaptation/mitigation actions Agriculture (crops, livestock a | nd |
| fisheries) | 14 |

1. Introduction

Climate change has emerged as one of the most important challenges affecting all spheres of human development and livelihood including social, economic, cultural, and political dimensions among others. According to the IPCC (2023¹), human activity such as burning fossil fuels as well as unequal and unsustainable energy and land use have led to global temperature rise of 1.1 degrees Celsius above pre -industrial levels, which is mostly responsible for the increased frequency and intensity of extreme weather events. These extreme weather events have caused increasingly dangerous impacts on nature and people in every region of the world. In response to this threat, countries worldwide have agreed to develop coordinated response actions that include both mitigation and adaptation. Zambia like many other countries that are party to the Paris Agreement under the United Nations Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), has initiated efforts aimed both at enhancing mitigation and adaptation through the development of the Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC) and National Adaptation Plan (NAP).

Like other countries party to the UNFCCC, Zambia signed up to the Paris Agreement on climate and pledged to contribute to mitigation and adaptation through submission of the NDC. In December 2016, Zambia submitted its first NDC to the UNFCCC consisting of both mitigation and adaptation actions based on the country's circumstances. Pursuant to Decision 1/CP.19, 1/CP.20 and 1/CP.21 of the Conference of Parties to the UNFCCC for countries to enhance their climate ambitions and update their NDCs by 2020, Zambia in July 2021, submitted a revised and updated NDC which included an expanded scope adding transport, liquid waste and coal to bring total sectors under mitigation to nine from six (Government of the Republic of Zambia [GRZ], 2021²). The

```
https://www.ipcc.ch/report/ar6/syr/downloads/report/IPCC_AR6_SYR_SPM.pdf
```

¹ IPCC, 2023: Summary for Policymakers. In: Climate Change 2023: Synthesis Report. A Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. Contribution of Working Groups I, II and III to the Sixth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change [Core Writing Team, H. Lee and J. Romero (eds.)]. IPCC, Geneva, Switzerland, 36 pages. (in press). Available at:

 $^{^{2}}$ GRZ. 2021. Nationally Determined Contribution (NDC) of Zambia for the timeframe 2015 – 2030. Government of the Republic of Zambia.

revised NDC thus present a more ambitious set of mitigation and adaptation commitments.

Zambia's NAP is still under development and is slated to be launched in August 2023. The process has a total budget of US\$2.1 million which is being funded from the Green Climate Fund (GCF) with the Global Water Partnership (GWP) as the delivery partner responsible for technical support and grant management for the GCF. In the face of climate change, the NAP will help the country to identify and articulate medium and long-term adaptation priorities and actions as well as the different financing options. To ensure effective tracking of progress of the actions proposed in both the NDC and NAP, separate results frameworks for each of the two processes have been developed.

The NDC implementation progress will be tracked through the implementation framework (IF) launched on May 17, 2023. However, the Monitoring, Reporting and Verification (MRV) framework is used as a monitoring and evaluation tool for the NDC. The NDC IF includes actions, targets and performance indicators for both mitigation and adaptation. Under the NAP, a Monitoring, Evaluation and Learning (MEL) framework has been developed as a tool for tracking and evaluating progress of adaptation actions.

The Ministry of Green Economy and Environment (MGEE) is the focal point institution for the day-to-day implementation of the NDC. The NDC is implemented in an integrated multi-sectoral manner with participation from all stakeholders including the Government line ministries, the private sector, civil society and academia. The NDC is also mainstreamed in key national documents such as the Eighth National Development Plan (8NDP) and sectoral policies. However, the NDC and NAP have overlapping actions and indicators which present a risk of double counting, but by streamlining the two results frameworks can help track their direct and indirect contribution and alignment to the higher national goals and development objectives.

The aim of this report, therefore, is to review the indicators and metrics of the existing results frameworks and propose areas of improvement to make the indicators more relevant and manageable in terms of number and data availability.

2. Review of Climate Change Ambitions and Actions

2.1 Goals and ambitions of Zambia's climate change initiatives

Zambia's climate change goals and ambitions are embedded in key national development policies and strategies including the Vision 2030, National Policy of Climate Change (NPCC) of 2016, the Eighth National development Plan (8NDP) of 2022, NDC and the NAP. In the Vision 2030 one of the aspirations is for Zambia to formulate and implement development policies consistent with sustainable environment and natural resource management principles. The 8NDP has Environmental Sustainability as one of its Strategic Development Areas, which aims to improve adaptive capacity, reduce vulnerability and enhance resilience to climate change, for both human and biophysical systems. Moreover, the 8NDP outlines the government's commitment to invest in ambitious mitigation actions by promoting low carbon sustainable consumption and production to reduce greenhouse gas emissions and meet the emission reduction targets set out in the NDC.

However, the revised and updated NDC was submitted with a conditional pledge of reducing GHG emissions by 25 percent (20,000Gg CO₂eq.) by 2030 against a base year of 2010 under the Business As Usual (BAU) scenario with limited international support (\$15 billion) or by 47 percent (38,000Gg CO₂eq.) with substantial international support (\$35 billion).

2.2 Priority actions in Zambia's NDC

Zambia's NDC outlines the key areas of priority for both mitigation and adaptation mostly focusing at the sectorial level. The mitigation actions in the NDC are focused on six areas, namely: i) Sustainable Forest management; ii) Sustainable agriculture; iii) Renewable energy and energy efficiency; iv) Sustainable Transport; v) Liquid waste;

and vi) Coal. Adaptation actions in the NDC are focused on the following programmes: adaptation of strategic productive systems (agriculture, wildlife and water); adaptation of strategic infrastructure and health systems; and enhanced capacity building, research, technology transfer and finance for adaptation.

Zambia's NDC actions are aligned with the key national development policies whereby the NDC has 157 indicators to be tracked while the NAP draft has 221 indicators. However, NAP focuses on adaptation and responds to adaptive capacity and resilient building, with some actions and indicators already covered under the NDC. Zambia's NDC covers adaptation, mitigation and resilience, however, there is limited scope on community and household level actions and programmes. Yet, communities and households, particularly those in rural areas are more vulnerable to climate change impacts given their low adaptive capacity. This outline the need to develop actions and indicators that are specifically addressing household and community level outcomes.

3. Zambia Climate Change M&E System

3.1 The Zambia MRV framework

The government of Zambia has an established integrated national Measurement, Reporting and Verification (MRV) system and registry to track progress of its NDC implementation. The MRV is a web-based destination that everyone can get to and is generally designed for a broader audience. The main aim of the developed MRV system is to assist government to create track the implementation of the Paris Agreement so as to scale up climate change adaptation and mitigation action in support of the National Determined Contributions (NDCs). The system is applied to mitigation, adaptation actions and cross cutting issues to help determine the impact of actions and implementation challenges, as well as facilitate evidence-based decision-making.

The MRV further provides a vital governance tool to assess performance against set targets and to update action plans accordingly. This means by demonstrating Zambia's progress toward climate resilience and emission reduction goals, the MRV approach will further contribute to attracting climate finance.

Below shows a section of the web-based MRV which includes different climatic themes; Table 1: Main themes and tracking parameters of the Zambia's web-based MRV

| Emissions | Mitigation | Adaptation | Finance | Technology Transfer | Capacity Building |
|---|--|---|--|--|--|
| Tracking parameters Activity data for following | Tracking parameters Mitigation action | Tracking parameters Key Performance | Tracking parametersCategory of funder | Tracking parametersArea of application | Tracking parameters Description of |
| sectors • Energy • IPPU • AFOLU • Waste | Nature of action and coverage Methodologies and assumptions Progress indicators Objectives of the actions Status of implementation Estimated emission reduction International market mechanisms Activity data | Rey Performance Indicator baselines Key Activities Enhanced adaptive capacity Strengthened Resilience Reduced Vulnerability Outcome Output | Category of funder Name of funder Type of finance Area of support Financial instrument used Amount Name of projects funded | Type of technology Name of Products/ innovations Patent Licensed Publications associated with product/innovation | Description of capacity building Number of persons trained Number of professional certification issues Number of Academic Scholarships received Number of centers of excellence established Number of Experts/Trainers deployed |

3.2 Zambia adaptation MEL framework

The Monitoring, Evaluation, and Learning framework provides for Monitoring and review of NAP implementation that will involve tracking and reporting the progress of adaptation measures. The country takes measures towards reducing climate change vulnerabilities, strengthened adaptive capacity, and improved resilience in Zambia's economic systems, its people's livelihoods, and its ecosystems. This adaptation data will be regularly collected using the key performance indicators derived from the climate change adaptation measures identified as detailed in the MEL framework. Monitoring will be done at both National and sub-national levels. It is the work of MGEE to aggregate the reports from provinces and sectors and share the consolidated reports with both national and international stakeholders.

3.3 The need to revise the MRV and MEL indicators

Based on the review and analysis of Zambia's climate change action indicators both in the NDC and NAP, a number of areas for improving indicators are proposed. The key proposals are as follows:

• MEL framework for the NAP also has adaptation indicators some of which are already captured in the MRV under the NDC, e.g., similar agricultural productivity indicators.

This creates an overlap of indicators and complicates the tracking of adaptation actions and progress.

- The MEL framework has a long list of indicators which may be difficult to track and report on. There is a need to prioritize indicators and only consider those that are key indicators given the data availability, cost, and practicality of its tracking.
- Some indicators are focusing on output and less on the activity or outcome. For example, the MEL for NAP has a number of indicators which can specifically be captured with one or two indicators, i.e., number of farmers using irrigation and hectarage under irrigation.

4. An Integrated Results Framework

The analysis of the MRV and NAP MEL framework shows that most of the indicators are at the activity level and few measure the outcome results. However, monitoring outcome results is important as it helps guide climate actions that respond to the country's climate goals and ambitions as outlined in key climate change documents including the NDC, NAP and the National Policy on Climate Change and the 8NDP. An integrated results framework (IRF) can help establish a result reporting architecture that is inclusive of the key outcome results and their linkages to the climate ambitions and goals.

The current separate M&E systems (the MRV and MEL) have limited scope of the goals and ambitions. For example, the NDC focuses mainly on emission reduction as the ambition, while the MEL for adaptation is limited to adaptation ambitions, and yet mitigation and adaptation are complementary. Thus, it is important to develop a harmonized framework, the IRF in this case, that links key result areas at different levels to progression towards national climate goals and ambitions. In developing the proposed IRF, we follow three main steps as discussed below;

First, we review the existing key national climate change and development documents including the NDC, the NAP, the 8NDP and Vision 2030. All these documents contain

the country's climate change and development ambitions and goals. **Figure 2, under annex 1,** summarizes a number of climate change ambitions and goals which are needed to be achieved. Achievement of these ambitions and goals is expected to result in a **resilient and low carbon economy**.

Second, we identify and prioritize key programmatic actions which if implemented will result in the achievement of intermediate results. The actions are mainly drawn from the NDC, NAP and from the key sectors which are considered significant GHG emitters and most vulnerable to climate change. **Figure 2, in annex 1,** summarizes the priority program actions. Achievement of these objectives is expected to culminate in **sustainable and inclusive development**.

Third, we review Zambia's climate governance and coordination mechanisms. Based on this review, we summarize and prioritize three key system level and cross-cutting actions that will result strengthened **institutional and implementation capacity** which has been summarized in **figure 2**, **in annex 1**, These system level actions and objectives are expected to support effective implementation of programmatic actions leading to achievement of ambitions and goals and the overall development objective.

5. Key Performance Indicators

Zambia's MRV for the NDC has 157 indicators while the MEL framework for tracking the NAP has 221 indicators³. This long list of indicators will be costly to track. Further, data for many of them might be a challenge as a number of them do not even have baseline data available. Besides the long list of indicators, there are overlaps in indicators for the MRV and MEL frameworks especially on adaptation. Therefore, streamlining and integrating overlapping indicators would help reduce the list. Further, some indicators in both the MRV and MEL frameworks are measuring the same indicators separately while some indicators measure activity outputs rather than

results or outcomes. A good example is agroforestry and irrigation indicators, which

³ Based on the version shared with stakeholders during a review meeting in May, 2023

are both mitigation and adaptation indicator. This can be captured in one integrated framework, for example an extended MRV rather than having an NDC MRV and the adaptation MEL framework.

5.1 **Proposed key performance indicators**

The proposed IRF has 12 result areas across three levels; three systemic results, five programmatic results, and four outcome results. **Table 3 under annex 2**, presents the proposed indicators for tracking the integrated climate actions results. A total of 34 indicators are proposed to track the progress of the three result levels, with the programmatic level having the highest number of indicators at 17, followed by the outcome level with 9 indicators and lastly the systemic level with 8 indicators. Compared to the total of 378 indicators for the NDC (157) and NAP (221). The IRF indicators (34) represent less than 10 percent, and yet captures the key indicators to be able to track Zambia's climate change actions and how they are linked to national development goals and ambitions.

The proposed indicators for IRF are drawn from the MRV and MEL frameworks while some are new. **Table 3, under annex 2,** shows the source of each indicator (MRV, MEL or new). In addition, some indicators are a modification of those from the MRV or MEL framework – these are labeled MRV+ or MEL+. Majority of the modified indicators are for outcome and intermediary levels. The new indicators are mostly index measurements such as vulnerability, resilience, export diversification, and crop diversification. Other are perception index to measure governance and coordination, financing, capacity, and knowledge management (systemic level). Out of the 34 proposed KPIs for the IRF, ten (10) – representing 29 percent -- are new and the remaining 24 are drawn from the MRV or MEL or both the MRV and MEL.

5.2 Metrics of key performance indicators

The IRF indicators proposed in **Table 3**, **under annex 2**, represent a diverse range of data required to measure and be able to track the indicators. Metrics for some

indicators such as land cover are well established but for others such as resilience index, governance and coordination effectiveness index are not straightforward and will require computation from survey data and stakeholder perceptions and expert opinions.

6. Summary and Conclusion

This report set out to review Zambia's climate change and environmental and natural resources management initiatives, with particular focus on the M&E systems. The report reveals existence of several climate change initiatives in the country done by the NDC, NAP and various stakeholders with MGEE playing a coordination role with an aim of improving climate change adaptation, mitigation and resilience, feeding into the country's ambition and goals of developing a low carbon, resilient and green economy.

The NDC and NAP have each developed separate M&E systems, with the NDC linked to the MRV while the NAP proposes to use the MEL framework. Although the two processes have different points of focus, they have common areas, especially in terms of adaptation actions and indicators. However, some indicators in the NAP are at output level and can be combined to create outcome indicators and thus reduce the list of indicators without losing relevant information. Thus, there is need to revise, refine and streamline the two systems to avoid duplication and overlap of actions and indicators. Further to ensure the existing actions and initiatives align with the country's ambitions and goals, there is need for a structured M&E system that streamlines the various objectives and results from different actions into an integrated results framework.

Annex:1: Integrated Results Framework

 Table 2: Proposed Integrated Results Framework

| | Level 1: Climate | resilient and low | carbon economy (development ob | ojective) | |
|---------------------------------|------------------------------|----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|--|---------------------|
| Outcome result | 1.1 Sustainable | | | | |
| re: | production and | 1.2 Resilient | | | |
| me | consumption, and GHG | ecosystem and sustainable | | 1.4 Enhanced adaptiv | ve capacity and |
| C01 | emission | environmental | 1.3 Enhanced economy-wide | | nmunities and |
| Out | reduction | management | resilience | households | innumeres and |
| | | | | | |
| | Level 2: Sustain | able and inclusive | socioeconomic development (inte | ermediate objective) | |
| iate | 2.1 Resilient | | | | |
| ed | productive | | | | |
| erm ult | systems (agriculture, | 2.2 Renewable and sustainable | | 2.4 Sustainable land and forestry | 2.5 Enhanced social |
| Intermediate result | wildlife, water) | energy | 2.3 Sustainable transport system | management | protection |
| | | | | | |
| | Level 3: Strengt | hened institutiona | l and implementation capacity (st | trategic objective) | |
| emic It | 3.1 Effective governance and | | | | |
| <mark>Systemic</mark> result | coordination system | 3.2 Enhanced climation | ate Investment and finance | 3.3 Strengthened tech knowledge managemen | |



Annex: 2: IRF key Performance indicator information

Data for measuring and tracking the proposed indicators varies based on indicator type. Some indicators will be measured using geophysical data (e.g., land cover change), others using household and enterprise level data from surveys (e.g., adoption of CSA, crop divarication index), while others will be measured using national aggregate data (e.g., GHG emissions) and lastly perceptions or expert opinions (e.g., governance and coordination effectiveness). To ensure timely availability of accurate data, the indicators data sources are grouped into data clusters based on the data type required to measure the indicator. The first cluster "1" is for geophysical data such as remote sensing, NDVI from institutions such as the National Remote Sensing Center; second cluster "2" is for micro-level data from surveys such as adoption of CSA, this data will be obtained from ZamStats and IAPRI surveys. Where additional data will be required, targeted snapshot surveys will be undertaken; third cluster "3" is concerned with national aggregate data such as budget allocations to climate change programs and will be obtained from government departments and Ministries; and the fourth cluster "4" pertains to perception or expert opinion data to be obtained from sector experts.

| Codes | Proposed KPI by result area | Source | Cluster |
|----------|---|--------|---------|
| Level 1: | OUTCOMES: Climate resilient and low carbon economy | | |
| | | | |
| 1.1 | GHG emission reduction | | |
| | GHG emissions | MRV | 3 |
| 1.2 | Resilient ecosystem and sustainable environmental management | | |
| | Land use and cover | MRV | 1 |
| | Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) | New | 1 |
| | Extent of surface temperature deviation from historical average | New | 1 |
| | Rainfall deviation from historical average | New | 1 |
| 1.3 | Enhanced economy-wide resilience | | |
| | Projected change in economic growth due to climate change | MRV+ | 3 |

Table 3: Proposed key Performance Indicators for the proposed IRF

| | Export diversification index | New | 3 |
|----------|---|---------|---|
| 1.4 | Enhanced adaptive capacity and resilience of communities and households | | |
| | Climate change vulnerability index | New | 2 |
| | Resilience measurement index | New | 2 |
| Level 2: | PROGRAMMATIC Sustainable and inclusive socioeconomic development | | |
| 2.1 | Resilient productive systems (agriculture, wildlife, tourism, water) | | |
| | Percent of farmers adopting CSA | MRV/MEL | 2 |
| | Crop diversification index | New | 2 |
| | Percent of farmers purchasing agricultural insurance | MEL | 2 |
| | Hectares of land under irrigation within defined water resource user groups | MEL+ | 3 |
| | National wildlife adaptation strategy in place | MEL | 3 |
| | General Management Plans developed for National Parks | MEL | 3 |
| | Number of water catchment management plans developed | MEL+ | 3 |
| | Number of water resource protection areas gazetted | MEL | 3 |
| | | | |
| 2.2 | Renewable and sustainable energy | MDV. | 2 |
| | Share of renewable (solar, wind, geothermal) energy in total energy supply | MRV+ | 3 |
| | MW generated from hydropower | MRV+ | |
| | Percent of households adopting efficient cooking technologies and fuels | MEL | 2 |
| | Percent of households using renewable energy for cooking, lighting and heating and other uses | MEL | 2 |
| 2.2 | Sustainable transment system | | |
| 2.3 | Sustainable transport system | | 2 |
| | Share of budget allocation towards the construction of climate resilient transport infrastructure | MRV/MEL | 3 |
| | Kilometer of road maintained in accordance with the climate resilient standard codes | MEL+ | 3 |
| 2.4 | Sustainable land and forestry management | | |
| | Hectares of land under | MEL | 3 |
| | reforestation/afforestation Hectares of forest land under community forest management systems | MEL+ | 3 |

| 2.5 | Enhanced social protection | | |
|----------|--|------|---|
| | Budget allocation to social protection programs | MEL | 3 |
| | | | |
| Level 3: | SYSTEMIC: Strengthened institutional and implementation capacity | | |
| 3.1 | Effective governance and coordination system | | |
| | Climate governance and coordination perception index | New | 4 |
| | Climate change action decentralization perception index | New | 4 |
| | Climate change Bill enacted | New | 3 |
| 3.2 | Enhanced climate Investment and finance | | |
| | Amount of climate finance from domestic sources | MRV | 3 |
| | Amount of international climate finance by source | MRV | 3 |
| | Value of climate change investment by source | MRV | 3 |
| 3.3 | Strengthened technical capacity & knowledge management | | |
| | Spending on climate change research and development | MRV+ | 3 |
| | Climate change awareness and education perception index | New | 4 |

Annex:3

| Table | 4: | Proposed | adaptation/mitigation | actions | Agriculture | (crops, | livestock | and |
|---------|-----|----------|-----------------------|---------|-----------------|---------|-----------|-----|
| fisheri | es) | | | | | | | |

| Sector: Agriculture, Livestock, and Fisheries | | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|--|
| Climate Change Impact | Adaptation/Mitigation Measure | | | | |
| | Promote irrigated agriculture under water user right groups | | | | |
| | Promote drought tolerant crop types and varieties. | | | | |
| | Promote of Climate-Smart Agriculture | | | | |
| | Promote uptake of crop insurance among smallholder farmers | | | | |
| | Up-scaling on dissemination of climate services | | | | |
| Crop loss and declining productivity | Increase access to mechanization for smallholder farmers | | | | |
| productivity | Increased access to extension services | | | | |
| | Encouraging precision agriculture/ use of ICTs | | | | |
| | Promotion of Integrated Pest Management (IPM) | | | | |
| | Conservation and commercialisation of indigenous crop varieties | | | | |
| | Promoting agroforestry/conservation agriculture (CA) | | | | |
| | Promote agricultural diversification | | | | |
| | Enhancing agricultural market linkages | | | | |
| | Promote improved post-harvest and preservation and value addition technologies | | | | |
| Food and mutrition in committee | Improved grain storage facilities for produce at the national level. | | | | |
| Food and nutrition insecurity | Promote health education on how to prepare, preserve and use availabl foods | | | | |
| | Promote cultivation of fortified food crops (orange fleshed sweet potatoes and maize) | | | | |
| | Accelerated implementation of Food Security Pack Programme | | | | |
| | Upscaled access to social cash transfer by the vulnerable farmers. | | | | |
| | Promotion of the use of locally adapted livestock strains/breeds | | | | |
| | Livestock farmers utilize breeds resilient to climate change | | | | |
| Increased livestock mortality | Use of good animal husbandry practices promoted among livestock farmers | | | | |
| | Promotion of improved pastures | | | | |
| | An index-based livestock insurance (IBLI) scheme is enhanced | | | | |
| | Improve access to animal health services | | | | |
| | Promote crop-livestock integration | | | | |
| Reduced livestock productivity | Degraded pasture restored and vegetation cover with different drought tolerant perennials increased | | | | |

| Sector: Agriculture, Livestock, and Fisheries | | | | |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Climate Change Impact Adaptation/Mitigation Measure | | | | |
| | Water points (weirs, dams and boreholes) for livestock management established and are functional | | | |
| | Sustainable forage seed production programme with private sector participation | | | |
| | Promotion of efficient reproduction capacity | | | |
| | Utilization of fishponds and fish cages | | | |
| | Strengthen community sensitization | | | |
| Declining fish stocks in natural | Enforcement of fisheries regulations including fish bans | | | |
| water bodies | Promote aquaculture development and other forms of alternative livelihood in fishing communities (utilization of fish cages and pens) | | | |
| | Protection and restoration of critical habitats (Fish breeding areas) | | | |
| Fish habitat destruction and | Strengthen bio-safety measures and disease controls | | | |
| disease outbreaks | Strengthen monitoring among fishers and fish farmers | | | |
| | Sensitize communities towards sustainable fish farming practices | | | |
| | Rehabilitate aquatic environments around river mouths | | | |

| Sector | Outcomes | Output | Performance Indicators |
|---|--|--|--|
| | | | |
| | A1. Fiscal policies improved to foster low-carbon and | A1.1. Climate Finance Resource Mobilization Strategy developed | A1.1.1. Climate Finance Resource Mobilization Strategy developed |
| | resilient sustainable development | A1.2. Climate change Fund established and launched | A1.2.1. Climate change bill to establish and pass the fund |
| | | A1.3. Climate change mainstreamed into socio- economic planning, budgeting and public financial management | A.1.3.1. Guidelines for assessing integration of climate adaptation and mitigation in macroeconomic and microeconomic policies |
| | | A1.4. Climate Change Public Expenditure Reviews conducted | A1.4.1 Comprehensive climate change public expenditure and budget reviews conducted |
| | | A1.5. Budget Tracking Tool for climate change investments strengthened | A1.5.1. Functional climate change budget Tracking System and Tools in place |
| olicy | | A1.6. Climate proofing manual for public financial management policies developed and is operational | A1.6.1. Climate proofing final manual for integrating climate change into Public Financial Management policies developed and operationalised |
| nce - Fiscal F | | A1.7. Capacity Building for national and sector working groups conducted | A1.7.1 National and sector working groups trained in mainstream climate into sectoral and national development plans |
| ıcial Banking & Finance - Fiscal Policy | | A1.8.PrivatePublicPartnershipInfrastructureonAdaptationandMitigationProjectspromotedandimplemented | A1.8.1 Private Public Partnership infrastructure projects implemented |
| | B2. Financial stability and supervisory policy | B2.1GreenFinanceGuidelinesandGreenLoansGuidelines | B2.1.1 Green Finance Guidelines developed |
| Banking & Finance - Fina sector | and procedures improved to foster low-carbon and resilient sustainable development | developed by the Bank of Zambia reviewed and strengthened | B2.1.2 Green Loans Guidelines developed |

Table A 1. Zambia NDC MRV Indicators

| | B2.2 Capacity building program and virtual peer exchange for BoZ staff, selected Government officials, and financial institutions on green finance and the operationalization of the guidelines (covering TCFD, TNFD, and Basel recommendations on sustainable finance) | B2.2.1 Manual updated and trainings delivered by April 2024 |
|--|--|--|
| | B2.3Regulatoryandsupervisory instruments tooperationalizetheguidelines (in line with thecountrycontextandinternationalbestpracticessuch asTNFD,andrecommendationsonsustainable finance) | B2.3.1 Regulatory and supervisory instruments developed by April 2024 |
| | B2.4. Climate Taxonomy for the financial sector developed | B2.4.1. Green Finance taxonomy for Zambia developed by December 2024 |
| | B.2.5 Incentives for Green Bonds developed | B.2.5.1 Tax incentives provided for green bonds |
| | B.2.6 Green Bond Market Development | B.2.6.1 A Sectoral Green Bond Taxonomy for Zambia developed |
| | | B.2.6.2 An online Green Bond Investment Portal (for pipeline projects) for Zambia developed |
| | | B.2.6.3 Technical Assistance services for potential green bond issuers, including capacity building |
| | B.2.7 Green Finance Policy and Implementation Plan | B.2.7.1 A Green Finance Policy for Zambia's financial sector developed |

| | | for Zambia's financial sector | B.2.7.2 An Implementation Plan for the Green Finance Policy for the financial sector. |
|---------------------|---|---|--|
| | | B.2.8 Green Finance Tagging and Reporting System (to report on financial flows related to climate change, | B.2.8.1 A green finance tagging/reporting system under the BoZ regulatory framework |
| | | biodiversity conservation and green finance) for Zambia's financial sector | B.2.8.2 A green finance tagging/reporting system under the PIA regulatory framework |
| | | | B.2.8.3 A green finance tagging/reporting system under the SEC regulatory framework |
| | C3. Strengthened climate resilience of Agricultural production and productivity | C3.1. Promotion of irrigation and efficient use of water resources. | C3.1.1. Number of farming households surveyed using efficient irrigation system disaggregated by gender & age |
| | productivity | C3.2. Increase the use of technologies for soil fertility improvement and moisture storage (including soil conservation measures). | C3.2.1 Number of farming households surveyed adopting technologies for fertility improvement and moisture storage disaggregated by gender and age |
| | | C3.3. Increased use of indigenous and scientific knowledge on drought tolerant crop types | C3.3.1 Number of farming households surveyed adopting drought resilient crops disaggregated by gender and age |
| | | C3.4. Upscaled access to social cash transfer by the vulnerable farmers. | C3.4.1 Number of male and female headed HH of vulnerable farmers covered by social cash transfer schemes |
| | | | C3.5.1 Number of farmers (by season) under weather indexed insurance |
| lture | | C3.6. Accelerated implementation of Food Security Pack Programme | C3.6.1 Number of beneficiaries segregated by age and gender receiving food security packs |
| AFOLU - Agriculture | - Agricu | C3.7. Value addition in agriculture production and productivity promoted | C3.7.1 Number of enterprises involved in agro - processing and value addition |
| AFOLU | | C3.8. Conservation and commercialisation of indigenous crop varieties | C3.8.1 Number of community seed banks established |

| | | C3.9. Gender sensitive agriculture technologies implemented | C3.9.1 Number of female farmers trained in gender sensitive agricultural technologies |
|------------------------------------|--|--|---|
| | D4. Enhanced early warning systems with a focus on | D4.1. Strengthening of Legal Framework for Meteorological Services | D4.1.1. Enactment of Meteorology Bill |
| | agriculture, livestock | 8 | D4.1.2. Meteorological Policy |
| | and fisheries implemented | D4.2. Increased awareness and information about climate change risks and early warning systems | D4.2.1 Climate Information Products and Services tailored to each sector by year |
| | | | D4.2.2 Crops covered with climate and weather information services |
| | | D4.3. Strengthened coordination and information exchange processes to optimize and potentiate climate actions and build community resilience | D4.3.1 Number of technical meetings with stakeholders on the impacts of weather, climate, climate variability and climate change with each sector annually |
| | | D4.4. Internet bandwidth expanded | D4.3.2 Internet bandwidth expanded |
| | | D4.5. Applications for accessing weather and climate products developed | D4.5.1. Digital Platforms for accessing weather and climate products tailored at the sectoral level developed |
| | | D4.6. Paper records digitised | D4.6.1. Number of hard copy records digitized |
| | | D4.7. Access to modernised computing infrastructure | D4.7.1. Number of computing infrastructure for weather and climate modelling acquired D.4.7.2. TB of Cloud based Storage |
| lation | | D4.8. Linkages with radio stations established and operational | and processing D4.8.1. number of linkages with community radio stations |
| imate Inform | | D4.9. Oriented stakeholders on the development of a user- oriented weather and climate services trained. | D4.9.1 Number of agricultural extension officers trained in the development of user-oriented weather and climate services |
| Multi-sector - Climate Information | | D4.10. Mapping of sectors and locations specific areas receiving weather and climate products, services and early warning conducted. | D4.10.1 Mapping report of sectors and locations specific areas generating data and receiving weather and climate products, services and early warning produced |

| | | D4.11. Socio-economic value of meteorological services documented | D4.11.1 Assessment report on the importance of meteorological services for society and the economy made |
|------------------|---|---|--|
| | | D4.12. Demonstration of the value of weather and climate services implemented. | D4.12.1. One pilot project per priority sector enhancing provision of weather and climate services implemented |
| | | D4.13. Community-based workshops to enhance awareness and participation in voluntary rainfall observation established, | D4.13.1. District-based workshops to enhance awareness and willingness to participate in voluntary rainfall observation conducted annually |
| | | D4.14. Research collaborations with learning institutions locally established. | D4.14.1. Number of MoU's with research and higher learning institutions established |
| | | D4.15. Joint international Resource Mobilization and implementation projects promoted | D4.15.1. Number of projects undertaken to enhance meteorological service provision |
| | | D4.16. Staff trained in project writing and resource mobilization | D4.16.1. Number of staff trained to synthesize and feature weather and climate information in sectoral project reports |
| | | D4.17. Expansion and Modernisation of weather and climate observation infrastructure | D4.17.1 Items procured for the expansion and modernisation of weather and climate observation infrastructure |
| vi st | 5. Reduced ulnerability and trengthened esilience of | E5.1. Forest under the management of communities | E5.1.1. Hectares of forest put under community forest management (additional to baseline) |
| li | velihoods among orest communities | E5.2. Increased natural forest regeneration | E5.2.1. Hectares of forest put under assisted natural regeneration interventions in degraded forest ecosystems |
| | | E5.3. Tree planting upscaled | E5.3.1. Hectares of trees planting using suitable tree species |
| ry | | E5.4. Increased capacities of forest professionals to enhance their ability in responding to climate change. | E5.4.1. Number of forestry professionals trained on climate change response disaggregated by gender |
| AFOLU - Forestry | | E5.5. Fire Management Training conducted | E5.5.1. Trainings in fire management delivered to CF Management Groups |
| AFOLU | | E5.6. Early forest burning clearing practice promoted. | E5.6.1. Hectares of forest burnt early |

| | | E5.7. Alternative Sources of Livelihoods at Household level in forested areas promoted E5.8. Awareness | E5.7.1. % of households reporting taking up alternative livelihoods in forest areas (disaggregated by gender) E5.8.1. CFMG community |
|--------|---|--|--|
| | | campaigns on impacts of climate change on forests conducted | awareness campaigns on the impacts of climate change on forests (disaggregated by gender) |
| | | E5.9. Improved forest fire management at national and subnational levels | E5.9.1. Forest fire management plans developed (CFMG) |
| | | E5.10. Forest Early warning and rapid response systems for fire using electronic channels established | E5.10.1. Forest early-warning and rapid-response systems for fire using electronic channels established |
| | | E5.11. Research on vulnerable forest ecosystems in Zambia conducted | E5.11.1. Research reports on vulnerable forest ecosystems in Zambia conducted |
| | | E5.12. Law enforcement operations conducted in gazetted forest and protected areas | E5.12.1. Number of law enforcement operations conducted in gazetted forests and protected areas |
| | F6. Increased gender equity and inclusiveness for both women and men in Community Forest Management Groups | F6.1. Policy Paper on gender, climate change and forestry developed and disseminated | F6.1.1. Development of policy paper, including sector baseline diagnostic, on gender, climate change and Forestry developed and disseminated |
| | (CFMG) | F6.2. Database on participation in forest management established | F6.3.1. Database of disaggregated information with respect to gender, forest and climate change issues developed |
| | | F6.3.Increasedparticipation of women inCommunityForestManagement Groups | F6.4.1. Female representatives trained in Community Forest Management Groups (CFMG) |
| | | F6.4. Lesson learnt materials produced and published | F6.6.1. Materials on lessons learned developed |
| | G7. Increased share of Renewable Energies in the national grid and increased Energy | G7.1. Increased solar energy contribution to the national installed electricity generation capacity | G7.1.1. Megawatts of solar electricity supplied in the grid |
| ß | Efficiency upscaled | G7.2. Low carbon based hydro-electricity supplied into the grid | G7.2.1. Megawatts of hydroelectricity supplied |
| Energy | | G7.3. Wind Electricity supplied into the grid | G7.3.1. Megawatts of wind electricity supplied into the grid |

| G7.4. Geothermal electricity generates and supplied into the grid | G7.4.1. Megawatts of geothermal electricity generated and supplied into the grid |
|--|--|
| G7.5. Biomass based electricity generated and supplied into the grid | G7.5.1 Megawatts of electricity generated through biomass and supplied into the grid |
| G7.6. Nuclear based electricity assessed as future source of energy | G7.6.1. Feasibility study for nuclear power development |
| G7.7. Increased generation in renewable energy for Off-grid supply | G7.7.1. 15 RE off-grid projects |
| G7.8. Increased number of businesses connected to mini grids | G7.8.1. Businesses connected to mini grids |
| G7.9. Public and Private Entities connected to mini grids | G7.9.1. Public and private institutions connected to mini grids |
| G7.10. Energy efficiency and conservation promotion | G7.10.1. % of surveyed household using alternative cooking solution |
| G7.11. Improved cook stoves produced and distributed across the country | G7.11.1. Improved cook stoves distributed |
| G7.12. Incandescent bulbs replaced with LED/CFL | G7.12.1. Number of Incandescent bulbs replaced with LED/CFL |
| G7.13. Legal framework in renewable energy and energy efficiency updated | G7.13.1Legalframeworkforrenewable energy amendmentG7.13.2Legalandimplementationframeworkforrenewable energy developed |
| G7.14. Stakeholders in the energy efficiency and renewable energy sub- sector (province & district) mapped | G7.14.1 Sub-sector energy efficiency stakeholder mapping report done |
| G7.15. Training of personnel in data capture in energy efficiency and renewable energy technologies | G7.15.1. Institutions/persons trained in data capturing in energy efficiency and renewable energy |
| G7.16. Data capturing hardware and software tools in energy efficiency and renewable energy procured and adopted | G7.16.1. Functional focal points with data capturing hardware and software tools in energy efficiency and renewable |

| | H8. Enhanced adaptive capacity and Strengthened resilience of infrastructure to climate shocks | H8.1. Capacity building among staff in design, setting building codes and standards for climate smart infrastructure | H8.1.1. Number of staff personnel (Engineers, Architects, Surveyors etc.,) trained in the design, setting building codes and standards for climate smart infrastructure |
|----------------------------|---|---|--|
| | | H8.2. Climate sensitive land use planning guidelines developed (Urban and Rural) | H8.2.1. Climate sensitive land use planning guidelines developed (Urban and Rural) |
| | | H8.3. Revised National Construction codes and standards developed | H8.3.1. Revised National Construction codes and standards developed |
| | | H8.4. Compliance visits on building codes and standards undertaken frequently (annually) in construction stage | H8.4.1.4 NCC compliance visits on building codes and standards undertaken annually in construction stage |
| | | H8.5. Demonstration Decent Climate Resilient Housing constructed in Urban and Rural areas | H8.5.1. Climate resilient housing units constructed in Urban and Rural areas |
| | | H8.6. Climate resilient roads constructed | H8.6.1 Km of climate resilient roads constructed |
| | | H8.7 Climate proofed PPP | H8.7.1. Climate proofed civil |
| | | (buildings, Bridges etc) infrastructure constructed | infrastructure units constructed through PPPs (buildings, Bridges etc) |
| ture | | H8.8. Kilometers of Canals dredged | H8.8.1. Km of Canals dredged |
| truc | | H8.9. River/Canal Harbors climate proofed | H8.9.1 Quays constructed at harbors |
| Buildings & Infrastructure | | H8.10. Maintenance and upgrade to climate resilience standard of public infrastructure (Roads, Bridges, Housing, Water, Drainage) conducted | H8.10.1. % of national budget allocated towards maintenance and upgrade to climate resilience standards of public infrastructure (Roads, Bridges, Housing, Water, Drainage) |
| ent | 19. Enhanced Waste Management and Resource Recovery | I9.1 Waste Management regulatory and policy framework strengthened | I9.1.1 Waste Management Policy finalizationandImplementation Plan reviewI.9.1.2 Finalization of Waste Management Regulations by 2023 |
| Waste Management | | I9.2. Improved solid waste management and resource recovery | I9.2.1. Number of material recovery facilities established |
| Waste ^I | | 19.3. Establishment of Lusaka Waste Management Company | 19.3.1. Lusaka Waste Management Company established |

| | | I9.4. Awareness campaign programmes at national and subnational levels conducted | 19.4.1. Number of awareness programmes/campaigns on waste management conducted at national and sub-national level |
|--------|---|---|---|
| | | | I9.4.2. Mass-media and social media campaign at the national level |
| | | I9.5. Waste disposal following sustainable guidelines increased | I9.5.1. Number of Waste disposal facilities complying with waste disposal guidelines |
| | | I9.6. Installed power capacity (MW) of waste to energy projects | I9.6.1. Installed power capacity (MW) of waste to energy projects |
| | | I9.7. Commercial aerobic composting plants installed and functional | I9.7.1. Pilot commercial aerobic composting plants installed and functional |
| | | I9.8. Increased methane capture capacity through landfill development | I9.8.1. Number of newly constructed landfills |
| | | I9.9. Increased capacities for data reporting on waste management | I9.9.1. Number of waste management sites reporting to Zambia's MRV system |
| | J10. Increased resilience of the Health sector to climate change | J10.1. Strengthened policies and institutional capacities to manage climate change risks in the health sector | J10.1.1 % of districts with functional public health emergency preparedness and response systems for climate sensitive diseases |
| | | J10.2. Availability of EH policy that clearly defines the strategies that include climate change for the Ministry of Health | J10.2.1 Environmental Health policy enacted |
| | | J10.3. Availability of surveillance tools that aid in early warning against climate variability and | J10.3.1 % of districts with functional surveillance systems for climate sensitive diseases |
| | | extreme weather events with a focus on the health sector | J10.3.1 % of districts conducting environmental health surveys |
| Health | | J10.4. Climate change is mainstreamed across programmes to enhance resilience of the health sector | J10.4.1. % of health care facilities implementing mitigation and adaptation measures to climate change |
| | K11. Water security of all Zambians is promoted and protected, via | K11.1. Investment in climate resilient water sources infrastructure increased | K11.1.1. Number of climate resilient water sources infrastructures constructed |
| Water | gender-responsive and climate-smart water infrastructure | | K11.1.2 Number of climate resilient water sources infrastructures rehabilitated |

| | | | K11.1.3 Number of climate resilient water sources infrastructures maintained |
|-------------------|---|--|---|
| | | K11.2. Ground water aquifer resources mapped and protected | K11.2.1 Number of aquifers mapped and protected |
| | | | K11.2.2 Number of exploratory boreholes drilled |
| | | | K11.2.3 Number of well fields identified and developed |
| | | K11.3. Capacity building in Aquifer mapping, groundwater exploration and protection | K11.3.1 Trainings in Aquifer mapping, groundwater exploration and protection (disaggregated by gender) |
| | | K11.4. Relevant water technologies adopted based on the assessment results and potential implemented | K11.4.1. Number of beneficiaries trained on the use of climate resilient water technologies disaggregated by gender |
| | | K11.5. Ground and surface water monitoring systems established | K11.5.1. Number of functional ground water monitoring systems installed |
| | | | K11.5.2. Number of functional surface water monitoring systems installed |
| | | K11.6. Water deficit/availability assessments in the three agro-ecological regions conducted | K11.6.1. Number of water sources assessment conducted in catchment areas on yearly basis |
| | | | K11.6.2. Volume of water impounded in constructed dams and desegregated by size in each of the six catchment regions |
| | L12. Livestock farmers able to cope with climate change | L12.1 Livestock farmers utilize breeds resilient to climate change | L12.1.1. Number of livestock units procured for pass-on scheme |
| | through adoption of improved practices that enhance livelihoods; | L12.2 Water points (weirs, dams and boreholes) for livestock management established and are functional | L12.2.1 Livestock keeping households with enhanced access to water points |
| ock | | L12.3 Use of good animal husbandry practices promoted among livestock farmers | L12.3.1. Livestock farmers trained in effective practices disaggregated by gender |
| AFOLU – Livestock | | L12.4 An index-based livestock insurance (IBLI) scheme is enhanced | L12.4.1. Farmers registered for IBLI disaggregated by gender |
| AFOLU | | L12.5 Livestock Early Warning Information | L12.5.1. One LEWIS operational |

| | | System (LEWIS) operationalised. | |
|---|---|---|---|
| | M13. Sustainable systems for improved smallholder livestock production and productivity operational | M13.1 Degraded pasture restored and vegetation cover with different drought tolerant perennials increased M13.2. Sustainable forage seed production programme with private sector participation | M13.1.1. Ha of degraded rangeland restored M13.2.1. Farmers receiving improved pasture seeds |
| | | M13.3. Diversification and strengthened livelihoods and source of incomes for rural populations. M13.4. Technical and business capacity developed for construction of biogas plants for | M13.3.1. 4 skin and hides manufacturing plants established by private sector M13.4.1. Livestock farmers trained in the construction and use of biogas digesters disaggregated by gender |
| | | livestock farmers | M13.4.2. Biogas Digesters constructed |
| | 015. Sustainable Industrial Products and Product Use | 015.1. Clinker content in cement reduced | 015.1.1. 3 pilot projects implemented with large cement manufacturers for clinker reduction |
| Industry | | O15.2. Use of fluorinated GHGs reduced | O16.1.1. National Program for the reduction of fluorinated gases in intensive industries implemented |
| _ | P16. Sustainable Transportation Infrastructure | P16.1 Lusaka Tramway System Developed | P16.1.1. KM of Tramway Constructed |
| | | P16.2. Electrified Railway Systems developed | P16.2.1. Km of Power lines connected |
| | | P16.3. Railway transport Infrastructure rehabilitated and modernised | P16.3.1. Km of railway Lines rehabilitated |
| Clim Transport ate Insti utio nal Capa | | P16.4 Urban Mobility Policy and strategy developed | P16.4.1. Electrical Mobility Policy/Implementation and Investment Framework developed |
| Clim ate Insti tutio nal Capa | Q17. Strengthened Institutional | Q17.1. Green Growth Strategy Developed | Q17.1.1. Green Growth Strategy launched |

| | CapacityforCoordination ClimateChange Projects andProgrammesin | Q17.2. National Policy on Green Growth and Climate Change Developed | Q17.1.2. National Policy on Climate Change and Implementation Plan revised and launched |
|-------------------------|--|---|---|
| | Zambia | Q17.3. NDC Revised | Q17.3. NDC Revised |
| | | Q17.4. NDC Implementation Framework developed and launched | Q17.1.4. NDC Implementation Framework launched |
| | | Q17.5. NDC Investment Strategy and Plan designed | Q17.1.5. NDC Investment Strategy and Plan launched |
| | | Q17.6. Climate Change Act developed | Q17.1.6. Climate Change Bill enacted by Parliament |
| | | Q17.7. Biennial Transparency Report/4th National Communication report produced | Q17.1.7. BTR1/NC4 finalized and submitted |
| | | Q17.8. Capacity building in Green Growth and Climate Change for key NDC sectors | Q17.8.1. Number of people trained in Green Growth and Climate Change for key NDC sectors |
| | | Q17.9. NDC Communication strategy developed | Q17.9.1 NDC Communication strategy developed and launched |
| | | Q17.10 Capacity building of District Level Staff and communities | Q17.10.1 Number of multidisciplinary district level staff trained in risk analysis for climate change |
| | | | Q17.10.2 Number of multidisciplinary district staff trained in environmental and adaptation proposal appraisal for micro projects |
| | | | Q17.10.3 Number of communities trained in community livelihood adaptation action plans and micro project proposal development |
| | | Q17.11 Women and men equally participating in the development of local area plans | Q17.11.1 Percentage of men/women participating in the development local climate change adaptation plans |
| Climate Transparency | R18EnhancedtransparencyforefficientGHGaccountingandclimatereportingplace | R18.1 National Enhanced Transparency Framework (ETF)/Measuring Review and Verification (MRV) Portal operationalized | R18.1.1 MRV launched and operationalized with integrated ETF/MRV portal |

| | R18.2 National GHG Inventory | R18.2.2 2016 - 2022 National GHG Inventory |
|---|--|---|
| S19 Enhanced Environmental Sustainability | S19.1GreeningEnvironmentalTools andProcesses | S19.1.1 Number of guidelines for Greening of Environmental, Tools and Processes {Environmental Management Strategies, Strategic Environmental Assessment (SEA), Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA's), Life Cycle Assessments (LCA), Research and Development, Environmental Fund} |
| ssues | S19.2 Revised Waste Management Strategy | S19.2.1 Revised Waste Management Strategy |
| nvironmental i | S19.3 Guidelines for Extended Producer Responsibility | S19.3.1 Number of Guidelines for Producer Responsibility developed |
| Multi-sector - Environmental issues | S19.4 Electrical and Electronic Waste management regulations and guidelines | S19.4.1HazardousWastemanagement RegulationsS19.4.2Electrical and ElectronicWaste management regulations |

| Codes | Proposed KPI by result area | Unit | Description | Frequency | Data Type | Proposed Cluster Lead | Disaggregate d by |
|----------|---|-------------------------|---|------------------|-------------|--|---|
| Level 1: | Climate resilient and low carbon economy | | | | | | |
| 1.1 | GHG emission reduction | | | | | | |
| | GHG emissions | Giga grams | The net amount of CO_2 equivalent emitted into the atmosphere after deducting the amount of carbon sequestered compared to the projected emission under business as usual (BAU). | Annual | Geophysical | Zambia Environmental Management Agency (ZEMA) | Main types of GHG including Carbon dioxide, Methane, Nitrous oxide |
| 1.2 | Resilient ecosystem and sustainable environment al management | | | | | | |
| | Land use and cover | Hectares/percenta ge | Amount of land under different land uses including agriculture, forest, national | Every 4 years | Geophysical | National Remote Sensing Center (NRSC) | Type of land use and land cover and province |

Table A 2. Metrics and data sources for the IRF KPIs

| Codes | Proposed KPI by result area | Unit | Description | Frequency | Data Type | Proposed Cluster Lead | Disaggregate d by |
|-------|---|------------|--|-----------|-------------|--|---|
| | | | parks, game management areas. | | | | |
| | Normalized Difference Vegetation Index (NDVI) | n/a | A dimensionless index that describes the difference between visible and near-infrared reflectance of vegetation cover and can be used to estimate the density of greenness on an area of land, a measure of vegetation health and density | Annual | Geophysical | NRSC | Appropriate geographical region |
| | Extent of surface temperature deviation from historical average | Percentage | A measure of temperature deviation from the long-term average, which is considered normal | Annual | Geophysical | Zambia Meteorological Department | Appropriate geographical region and years/months |
| | Rainfall deviation from historical average | Percentage | A measure of rainfall deviation from the long- term average, which is considered normal | Annual | Geophysical | Zambia Meteorological Department | Appropriate geographical regions and year/months |
| 1.3 | Enhanced economy- | | | | | | |

| Codes | Proposed KPI by result area | Unit | Description | Frequency | Data Type | Proposed Cluster Lead | Disaggregate d by |
|-------|---|------------|---|-----------|------------------------------|---|----------------------|
| | wide resilience | | | | | | |
| | Projected change in economic growth due to climate change | Percentage | Annual change in GDP per capita from projections under business- as-usual and climate change scenarios | Annual | Aggregate | Ministry of Finance and National Planning (MoFNP) | Economic sectors |
| | Export diversification index | n/a | A measure of how a country's export commodity/servi ce are distributed. It indicates whether exports are concentrated among a few sectors or are spread across several sectors. | Annual | Aggregate | ZamStats Ministry of Commerce Trade and Industry | Sector |
| 1.4 | Enhanced adaptive capacity and resilience of communities and households | | | | | | |
| | Climate change vulnerability index | n/a | TheClimateChangeVulnerabilityIndexevaluatesthevulnerabilityofhuman | Annual | Microdata and aggregate data | Disaster Management and Mitigation Unit (DMMU) | Rural and Urban |

| Codes | Proposed KPI by result area | Unit | Description | Frequency | Data Type | Proposed Cluster Lead | Disaggregate d by |
|-------|--|------------|---|-----------|---------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| | Resilience | n/a | populationstoextremeclimateeventsandchangesinclimateover long-termperiodstermperiods(30)yearsyearsminimum).Itcombinesexposuretoclimateextremesandchangethecurrenthumansensitivitytothoseclimatestressorsstressorsandtothecountrytototheimpactsofclimatechange.Anindicator | Annual | Microdata and | ZamStats | Rural and |
| | measurement index | 11/a | household resilience to shocks such as climate change | Ainiuai | aggregate | DMMU IAPRI | urban |
| 2.1 | Resilient productive systems (agriculture, wildlife, tourism) | | | | | | |
| | Percent of farmers adopting CSA | Percentage | It will provide an indication of the level of uptake and implementation | Annual | Micro data | ZamStats MoA | Gender, province |

| Codes | Proposed KPI by result area | Unit | Description | Frequency | Data Type | Proposed Cluster Lead | Disaggregate d by |
|-------|---|------------|--|-----------|------------|--|------------------------|
| | | | of CSA practices among smallholder farmers. It helps assess the progress and effectiveness of initiatives aimed at promoting climate-resilient and sustainable farming systems, and it highlights the extent to which farmers are incorporating climate change considerations into their agricultural practices. | | | Conservation Farming Unit (CFU) IAPRI | |
| | Crop diversification index | n/a | A measure of the composition of crops planted or harvested within a defined geographic boundary. | Annual | Micro data | MoA/ZamStats NRSC | Gender and province |
| | Percent of smallholder farmers purchasing agricultural insurance | Percentage | A measure of adoption and usage of agricultural insurance products among smallholder farmers. | Annual | Micro data | MoA/ZamStats | Gender Province |

| Codes | Proposed KPI by result area | Unit | Description | Frequency | Data Type | Proposed Cluster Lead | Disaggregate d by |
|-------|---|------------|--|-----------|------------------------|---|----------------------|
| | Hectares of land under irrigation within defined water resource user groups | Hectares | It provides a quantitative measure of the extent of agricultural land that is utilizing irrigation techniques to support crop production. | Annual | Aggregate Microdata | MoA Water Resources Management Authority (WARMA) | Province |
| | General management Plans developed for all national parks | n/a | A measure of how government and stakeholders plan on managing national parks in a sustainably and viable manner | Annual | Aggregate | Ministry of Tourism Department of National Parks and Wildlife (DNPW) | National Park |
| | Wildlife adaptation plan in place | n/a | A plan outlining the sustainable management and adaptation of wildlife in the country | n/a | n/a | Ministry of Tourism (DNPW) | n/a |
| 2.2 | Renewable and sustainable energy | | | | | | |
| | Percent share of renewable energy in total energy supply | Percentage | Measures the proportion or percentage of renewable energy sources in the overall energy supply mix. It indicates | Annual | Aggregate data | Ministry of Energy | n/a |

| Codes | Proposed KPI by result area | Unit | Description | Frequency | Data Type | Proposed Cluster Lead | Disaggregate d by |
|-------|--|------------|---|-----------|-------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|
| | | | the contribution of renewable energy, such as solar, wind, hydroelectric, geothermal, and biomass, compared to non- renewable sources like fossil fuels (coal, oil, natural gas) and nuclear energy. | | | | |
| | MW generated from hydropower | Mega watts | Measure of electricity supply from hydropower sources. Hydro power is Zambia's largest source of renewable energy and its generation capacity is an important indicator of energy supply in the country | Annual | Aggregate | Ministry of Energy | n/a |
| | Percentofhouseholdsadoptingefficientcookingtechnologiesand fuels | Percentage | It will track the progress and impact of efforts to promote and encourage the adoption of efficient cooking | Annual | Aggregate Micro data | Ministry of Energy | Province |

| Codes | Proposed KPI by result area | Unit | Description | Frequency | Data Type | Proposed Cluster Lead | Disaggregate d by |
|-------|---|--------------|---|-----------|----------------|---|----------------------|
| | | | technologies and fuels | | | | |
| | Percent of households using renewable energy for cooking, lighting and heating and other uses | Percentage | It will provide an indication of the adoption and utilization of renewable energy technologies among domestic consumers | Annual | Micro data | Ministry of Energy | Province |
| 2.3 | Sustainable transport system | | | | | | |
| | Budget allocation towards the construction of climate resilient transport infrastructure | ZMW 'million | A measure of resources allocated to construction of climate resilient transport infrastructure including, road, bridges, waterways, airports etc. | Annual | Aggregate data | MinistryofFinanceandNationalPlanningPlanningofMinistryofTransportandLogistics | n/a |
| | Kilometer of road constructed maintained in accordance with the climate resilient | Km | A measure of the length of road infrastructure maintained following the climate resilient standards to ensure that maintenance is | Biennial | Aggregate data | Ministry of Transport and Logistics Ministry of Infrastructure, Housing and Urban | n/a |

| Codes | Proposed KPI by result area | Unit | Description | Frequency | Data Type | Proposed Cluster Lead | Disaggregate d by |
|-------|---|--------------|--|-----------|----------------|--|----------------------|
| | standard codes | | compliant with set standards | | | Development (MIHUD) | |
| 2.4 | Sustainable land and forestry management | | | | | | |
| | Hectares of land under reforestation/ afforestation | Hectares | It will be used to track and quantify the progress made in restoring or creating new forested areas. | Annual | Aggregate data | MGEE/Forestry Department Ministry of Local Government and Rural Development (MLGRD) | n/a |
| | Hectares of forest land under community forest management systems | Hectares | A measure to quantify amount of forest under community management system | Annual | Aggregate | MGEE/Forestry Department | n/a |
| 2.5 | Enhanced social protection | | | | | | |
| | Number of people benefiting social protection | ZMW 'million | It will provide a quantitative measure of the financial resources dedicated to providing social | Annual | Aggregate | Ministry of Community Development and Social Services (MCDSS) | |

| Codes | Proposed KPI by result area | Unit | Description | Frequency | Data Type | Proposed Cluster Lead | Disaggregate d by |
|----------|---|------|--|-----------|----------------------------------|--|----------------------|
| | | | protection to cushion the vulnerable population from livelihood shocks such as climate change and socioeconomic shocks | | | Ministry of Labour and Social Security ZamStats | |
| Level 3: | Strengthened institutional and implementat ion capacity | | | | | | |
| 3.1 | Effective governance and coordination system | | | | | | |
| | Climate governance and coordination perception index | n/a | It provides a measure of the extent to which stakeholders perceive the strength of governance and coordination mechanism of climate actions in the country | Biennial | Observation/expe rt opinion | MGEE MoFNP | Sector |
| | Climate change action decentralizati | n/a | A measure of the extent to which stakeholders | Biennial | Observational/exp ert opinion | MLGRD MGEE | Sector |

| Codes | Proposed KPI by result area | Unit | Description | Frequency | Data Type | Proposed Cluster Lead | Disaggregate d by |
|-------|---|---------------------------------|---|-----------|--|---|----------------------|
| | on perception index | | perceive climate governance and coordination is decentralized | | | | |
| | Enactment of the Climate Change Bill | n/a | Enactment of the Climate Change Bill that will provide the legislative framework for climate actions in the country | n/a | n/a | Ministry of Justice National Assembly of Zambia MGEE | n/a |
| 3.2 | Enhanced climate Investment and finance | | | | | | |
| | Amount of climate finance from domestic sources | ZMW 'million or USD 'million | Refers to local, national financing— drawn from public, private and alternative sources of financing—that seeks to support climate actions | Annual | GoZ sector specific annual reports and project reports | MoFNP MGEE/National Designated Authority (NDA) | Sector |
| | Amount of international climate finance by source | USD 'million | Refers to financing drawn from international financial institutions and donor countries that seeks to | Annual | Sector project annual reports | MoFNP MGEE/NDA | Sector |

| Codes | Proposed KPI by result area | Unit | Description | Frequency | Data Type | Proposed Cluster Lead | Disaggregate d by |
|-------|--|--------------|---|-----------|----------------------------------|--|--|
| | | | support climate actions. | | | | |
| | Amount of climate investments made | USD 'million | A measure of private related climate change investment made | Annual | Aggregate data | MoFNP Zambia Development Agency | By domestic and international sources |
| 3.3 | Strengthened technical capacity & knowledge management | | | | | | |
| | Spending on climate change research and development | ZMW 'million | A measure of expenditure on climate research and development as well as capacity building to support climate research. | Annual | Aggregate data | Ministry of Technology and Science/Nation al Science and Technology Council | Sector |
| | Climate change awareness and education perception index | n/a | A measure of extent to which climate awareness and education has changed | Biennial | Observational/ expert opinion | MGEE Ministry of Education Ministry of Information and Media | Sector |



frica Network of Agricultural Policy Research Institutes (ANAPRI) is a collaborative network comprising research institutions across Africa dedicated to advancing evidencebased agricultural and food systems policy. With centers spanning 15 countries and totalling 16 in number, ANAPRI conducts rigorous research and analysis to tackle critical challenges in agricultural development, including market dynamics, trade policies, and sustainable practices. By promoting knowledge exchange and collaboration among its members, ANAPRI generates valuable insights to guide policy decisions at national, regional, and continental levels.

